

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DONALD G. ANTHONY,

Defendant.

4:12-CR-3031

ORDER


This matter is before the Court on correspondence from the defendant (filing 78) that the Court has filed as a motion for copies. The defendant does not have a right to receive copies of documents without payment. *See*, 28 U.S.C. § 1915; *Lewis v. Precision Optics, Inc.*, 612 F.2d 1074, 1075 (8th Cir. 1980); *see also*, *Jackson v. Fla. Dep't of Fin. Servs.*, 479 Fed. Appx. 289, 292-93 (11th Cir. 2012); *Harless v. United States*, 329 F.2d 397, 398-99 (5th Cir. 1964); *Douglas v. Green*, 327 F.2d 661, 662 (6th Cir. 1964); *Hullom v. Kent*, 262 F.2d 862, 863-64 (6th Cir. 1959); *In re Fullam*, 152 F.2d 141, 141 (D.C. Cir. 1945). And the Court is particularly reluctant to subsidize the defendant's litigation expenses when has not explained why he needs the copies he has requested. *See*, *Jackson*, 479 Fed. Appx. at 293; *Douglas*, 327 F.2d at 662; *Parker v. Dir. of Dep't of Corrs*, 2010 WL 7746630, at *1 (E.D. Va. Feb. 16, 2010). Therefore, the defendant's motion will be denied without prejudice.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The defendant's motion for copies (filing 78) is denied without prejudice.

Dated this 5th day of August, 2013.

BY THE COURT:



John M. Gerrard
United States District Judge